CLAIMS

l	1. A method for analyzing an integrated circuit (IC) under test and for identifying and	A method for analyzing an integrated circuit (IC) under test and for identifying and
2		inserting test points in order to improve the testability of the IC, the method comprising the
3		steps of:

12

13

14

15

16

1

- a) determining a measure of testability for the IC;
- b) selecting test point candidates to be evaluated for insertion in said IC and arranging said test point candidates into a first plurality of pairs of sets;
- c) evaluating said first plurality of pairs of sets and forming a second plurality of pairs of sets from said first plurality of pairs of sets, said evaluation being based on the respective testability improvement achieved by each plurality of said pairs of sets, and recombining said first and second plurality of pairs of sets based on results from said evaluation;
- d) repeating step c) until said first and second pairs of sets converge to form a best set, said best set providing the test points to be inserted into the IC; and
- e) repeating steps a) through d) until all the test points to be inserted have been incorporated into the IC.
- 2. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein in step a) the measure of testability for the IC is determined by a combination of fault simulation and probability of detection calculations.

- 3. The method as recited in claim 2, further including the steps of: 1
- 2 a) performing a fault simulation using a set of random patterns to identify faults detected 3 by the random patterns;
- 4 b) computing a measure of controllability based on the number of times the IC takes a 54 [] 11 [4 [] 4 [] 5 [] 6 [] 6 [] 7 [] 8 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 [] 11 predetermined logic value during the fault simulation;
 - c) deriving a measure of observability from the measure of controllability;
 - assigning a detection probability of 1.0 to a fault that was detected by the random patterns;
 - e) assigning a detection probability for the remaining faults according to the measure of controllability and observability respectively derived from steps b) and c); and
- 11 f) accumulating the detection probability for all the faults in the IC.
 - 4. The method as recited in claim 3, wherein in step b) further comprises applying a first 1 2 selection criteria, wherein said first selection criteria comprises the steps of:
 - a) estimating the testability improvement of the IC derived from inserting the test point 3 4 based on changes in the measure of controllability and observability;
- b) measuring the number of faults having a probability of detection nearing 1.0 if an • 5

12

e) intermingling pairs of said first plurality of sets to form a second plurality of pairs of

sets with said intermingled pairs of said first plurality of sets;

- f) evaluating said first plurality of pairs of sets and said second plurality of pairs of sets to select which first and second pairs of sets should be kept, said selected pairs of sets of said first and second plurality replacing the original first plurality of pairs of sets; and
- g) comparing the measure of testability for the IC to determine whether the selected plurality of first and second pairs of sets converges towards an optimal set to be inserted in the IC as additional test points.
- 7. The method as recited in claim 6, wherein in step b) the size of the sets of test points is determined as a linear function of the number of gates forming the IC and the number of the sets of test points thus far inserted, wherein the size of the sets of test points is limited by an arbitrary upper limit, said limit being increased as more sets of test points are inserted into the IC, and wherein the number of the sets is determined by dividing the number of test points by the size of the set.
- 8. The method of recited in claim 6, wherein in step c) said set of test point are grouped into a plurality of first sets according to a predetermined selection criteria.
- 9. The method as recited in claim 6 wherein in step d) changes in the measure of controllability and observability at selected multiple nets is updated in order to minimize the computational impact of inserting concurrently multiple test points into the IC.

8

- 1 10. The method as recited in claim 6 wherein in step d), performing an inversion and a mutation of said plurality of first sets of test points further comprises the steps of::
 - a) randomly selecting a starting point and an ending point for the inversion;
 - b) reversing all the points located between said the starting point and the ending point thereby interchanging said starting point with said ending point and all the points therebetween; and
 - c) selecting a mutation point and a random number to determine if mutation is to occur, wherein if said mutation occurs, the test point at the mutation point is arbitrarily replaced with another test point chosen at random from among the set of test points.
 - 11. The method as recited in claim 6 wherein step e) further comprises pairing said sets of test points, wherein said pairing comprising the steps of:
 - a) selecting at random a first set of test points from said first plurality of sets;
 - b) selecting at random a second set of test points from said first plurality of sets;
- 5 c) selecting for the first member of the pair the set of test points from steps a) or b),
 6 according to which set has a higher testability;
- d) repeating steps a) through c) to select the second member of said pair;
 - e) forming iteratively subsequent pairs as in steps a) through d); and

9

10

11

1

9

10

1

2

- f) repeating steps a) through e) until the pairs forming said first plurality have been selected.
 - 12. The method as recited in claim 12, further comprising the step of refreshing said first plurality of sets of test points to its original state and repeating steps a) through f) to generate a total number of pairs of test point sets to be intermingled.
 - 13 The method as recited in claim 6 wherein in step d) said intermingling comprises the steps of:
 - a) selecting at random a crossover starting point and ending point for each pair of sets
 (X and Y) to be intermingled;
 - b) creating a first new set consisting of all the test points in (X) that reside within the starting and the ending points and of all test points in (Y) that are outside the starting and ending points; and
 - c) creating a second new set consisting of all the test points in (X) that reside outside the crossover starting and ending points and consisting of all test points in (Y) that are inside the crossover starting and ending points, said first and second new sets forming a pair of new sets.
- 14. The method as recited in claim 13 further comprises determining if the pair of new sets

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 15. The method as recited in claim 6, wherein in step g), determining whether the population of pairs of sets of test points has converged when comparing the respective testability of the individual sets, and determining whether the convergence criteria have been met.
- 16. The method as recited in claim 6, wherein a plurality of sets of test points are processed in parallel on a plurality of computer processors to reduce the time required to perform the test point insertion process.
- 17. A program storage device readable by a machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform method steps for analyzing an integrated circuit (IC) under test and for identifying and inserting test points in order to improve the testability of the IC, said method steps comprising:
 - a) determining a measure of testability for the IC;
 - b) selecting test point candidates to be evaluated for insertion in said IC and arranging said test point candidates into a first plurality of pairs of sets;
 - c) evaluating said first plurality of pairs of sets and forming a second plurality of pairs of sets from said first plurality of pairs of sets, said evaluation being based on the respective testability improvement achieved by each plurality of said pairs of sets, and recombining said first and second plurality of pairs of sets based on results from said

12	evaluation;
13	d) repeating step c) until said first and second pairs of sets converge to form a best set
14	said best set providing the test points to be inserted into the IC; and
15	e) repeating steps a) through d) until all the test points to be inserted have been
16	incorporated into the IC.